May 21, 2021

To:      Jason Stajich, Chair  
          Riverside Division

From:   Alejandra Dubcovsky, Chair  
         Committee on Library and Information Technology

RE: Campus Review: Instructional Equipment RFP Lottery Funds

The committee discussed the Instructional Equipment high priority submissions request and listed their recommendations below. Note the Committee on Courses agreed split the items based on their relevance to each committee purview.

(List # below refers to the Item row on the provided excel sheet and [a.] represents the committee’s comments / reasoning)

2. partially fund  
   a.  It is needed, but maybe less than 40.

3.  fund  
   a.  serving many students

4.  partially fund  
   a.  Why different projectors are proposed for items 4 and 5?

5. partially fund  
   a.  Why different projectors are proposed for items 4 and 5?

6.  fund  
   a.  It is serving many students, but this request is for a software that will require annual renewal. What is the funding strategy?

7. not fund  
   a.  Cost seemed high compared to the number of students served

8. partially fund  
   a.  Though interesting, cost to student ratio served seemed high. It did not seem urgent.

9. partially fund  
   a.  Though interesting, cost to student ratio served seemed high. It did not seem urgent.

10. partially fund  
    a.  Though interesting, cost to student ratio served seemed high. It did not seem urgent.
11. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
12. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
13. not fund
   a. not urgent, unclear request
14. not fund
   a. not urgent, unclear request
15. not fund
   a. 0 cost??
16. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
17. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
18. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
19. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
20. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
21. fund
   a. This request is for a software that will require annual renewal. What is the funding strategy?
22. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
23. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
24. not fund
   a. fun, but not urgent
25. not fund
   a. Proposal focus is on remote education.
26. not fund
   a. Very costly for 10 microphones.
27. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
28. not fund
   a. Why are they not using Yuja? Unclear if special need beyond Yuja.
29. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
30. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
31. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
32. fund
33. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
34. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
35. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
36. fund
   a. This request is for a software that will require annual renewal. What is the funding strategy?
37. fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
82. Not Fund
   a. fun but not urgent
83. Fund
   a. clear and important need; also serving many students
86. Fund
   a. Clear and important need; also serving many students