COMMITTEE ON PHYSICAL RESOURCES PLANNING

REPORT TO THE RIVERSIDE DIVISION
December 5, 2023

To Be Adopted

Proposed Changes To Charge Of The Committee On Physical Resources Planning

Bylaw 08.17.02

PRESENT:

08.17
Physical Resources Planning

08.17.01
The committee will consist of seven members. One committee member shall be appointed from the agricultural sciences departments and programs of the College of Natural and Agricultural Sciences; one member from the natural sciences departments and programs of that college. One member shall be appointed from the social sciences departments and programs in the College of Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences; one member from the humanities departments and programs of that college. One member shall be appointed from the professional colleges/schools. Two members shall be appointed from the Divisional membership at-large who possess technical or academic expertise in the areas of architecture, design, landscape architecture, and the design and placing of public art. (Am 11 Feb 93)(Am 25 May 95)(Am 30 May 96)

PROPOSED:

08.17
Physical Resources Planning

08.17.01
The committee will consist of eight members. One committee member shall be appointed from the agricultural sciences departments and programs of the College of Natural and Agricultural Sciences; one member from the natural sciences departments and programs of that college. One member shall be appointed from the social sciences departments and programs in the College of Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences; one member from the humanities departments and programs of that college. One member will be from a department in the Bourns College of Engineering. One member shall be appointed from the professional colleges/schools. Two members shall be appointed from the Divisional membership at-large who possess technical or academic expertise in the areas of architecture, design, landscape architecture, and the design and placing of public art. (Am 11 Feb 93)(Am 25 May 95)(Am 30 May 96)

08.17.02
The committee shall provide advice to the Chancellor and represent the Division on matters concerning the use of campus lands and buildings, the design and placement of new buildings, and policies guiding University relations with industry and other enterprises. It shall consider, provide advice, and report on the use of physical resources and

08.17.02
No change
University industry relations which may be referred to it by the Academic Council, University committees, the Chancellor, the vice Chancellors, the Division, or by any committee of the Division.

Statement of Purpose and Effect:

The Physical Resources Planning (PRP) Committee is charged with providing advice “on matters concerning the use of campus lands and buildings, the design and placement of new buildings, and policies guiding University relations with industry and other enterprises”. The bylaws that dictate PRP membership and its charge have not been revised since 1996 (Table 1). In the past 27 years, our campus has grown and changed profoundly. As the complexity of the UCR campus has increased, the demands on the PRP Committee have increased to ensure a Senate voice in building projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Approval</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993 Winter Division Meeting</td>
<td>Committee changed from 5 to 7 members. Two members would be appointed from the divisional membership at large.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995 Winter Division Meeting</td>
<td>adjustment made from “professional schools” to “professional colleges/schools”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996 Spring Division Meeting</td>
<td>Stipulations added. The two members from the divisional membership should include those “who possess technical or academic expertise in the areas of architecture, design, landscape architecture, and the design and placing of public art”.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRP evaluated the PRP bylaws on May 30, 2023. The charge to the PRP (by law 08.17.02) accurately describes the nature but not the complexity of our work.

In contrast, the membership (by law 08.17.01) does not match the current complexity of our campus. For these reasons, PRP would like the membership of the PRP to be better aligned with its workload and the complexity of our campus. PRP proposes that the PRP committee should be increased to eight members by adding one Marlan and Rosemary Bournes College of Engineering faculty member to the committee.

The rationale for this request is that the size and organization of the UCR campus has changed since the membership of PRP was last revised in 1996. PRP thinks that the membership of the PRP Committee should more accurately reflect current faculty numbers in colleges and schools. Current committee membership, that is dictated by bylaw 08.17.01, is shown in Table 2. Both CNAS and CHASS have two representatives, professional schools have one representative, and two representatives from the divisional membership at large (often from CHASS) bring critical technical or academic expertise in architecture or design to the committee. Very noticeably, BCOE has grown in size since its inception in 1989 and does not have current representation on the PRP.
When the numbers of faculty in UCR colleges and schools are considered (Figure 1), it is clear that BCOE should have voice in the PRP. Based on the size of BCOE relative to CHASS and CNAS, we propose that one faculty member from BCOE should be appointed to PRP. Given the sizes of the professional schools, a single faculty member from one of the remaining professional schools should be retained. We suggest the professional school member be rotated across the schools over time. With the professional school appointment, it may be valuable to consider if a professional school has an active building project or a project that will be initiated in the near future.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College/School</th>
<th>Department or Program</th>
<th>Current PRP membership</th>
<th>Proposed future PRP membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNAS</td>
<td>Agricultural science</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNAS</td>
<td>Natural sciences</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHASS</td>
<td>Social sciences</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHASS</td>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCOE</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Schools</td>
<td>Education, Business, Medicine, Public Policy</td>
<td>1 (Business)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any college/school</td>
<td>Faculty possess technical or academic expertise in the areas of architecture, design, landscape architecture, and the design and placing of public art</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Number of members | 7 | 8 |

UCR is undergoing a massive transformation with several buildings being constructed (e.g., SOM II and SOB) and renovations underway in several of our older buildings. In addition, buildings that impact student life, teaching and research are in the planning stages (e.g., North Campus expansion, Undergraduate Teaching and Learning Facility, and the OASIS building).
Furthermore, there Engineering III and a Life/Natural Sciences research buildings are currently in the capital planning queue. Building projects are long-term endeavors that can span five years from the inception of planning to building completion. Senate representation on building working groups and subsequent committees is critical to assure that faculty perspectives are heard early and continually throughout the building and planning process. Appointing a Senate member to a building working group and committees associated with the building planning and design is critically important, but it is a long-term and, at times, significant time commitment. These Senate members should be from the PRP committee and be acknowledged for their commitment to the campus. Currently, the PRP is relying on ad hoc members to the building committees to serve in these roles, as the majority of PRP members are associate or assistant professors.

We hope that the changes to the PRP Committee membership (ByLaw 08.17.02) will be approved.
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