To:       Don Collins  
         Chair, Graduate Council  

From:    Jason Stajich  
         Chair, Riverside Division  

RE:       Proposed Changes to UCR Appendix Sections 6.3 - Types of Academic Misconduct and 6.5 - Graduate Students  

Dear Don,

Executive Council included the subject item on the agenda for our May 23, 2022 meeting and had no additional comments. Attached is the consultative feedback I hope proves helpful for a potential revision.

Sincerely,

/s/ Jason
COMMITTEE ON RULES AND JURISDICTION

May 11, 2022

To: Jason Stajich
   Chair, Riverside Division

From: Katie Ford, Chair
       Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction

Re: Proposed Changes to UCR Appendix Sections 6.3 Types of Academic Misconduct and 6.5 Graduate Students

The Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction reviewed the Proposed Changes to UCR Appendix Sections 6.3 Types of Academic Misconduct and 6.5 Graduate Students and offers the following:

General comments:

1. The heading on the document should be appropriately labeled so it is clear what policy this applies to and where someone can gain access to the policy: Appendix of the Riverside Division, Section 06. Academic Integrity for Students at the University of California, Riverside (Approved by Educational Policy on April 2, 2012 after consultation with the Graduate Council)

2. Throughout, the numbering of sections in the proposed revision do not conform to the numbering style used in the current Appendix. That is, the Appendix section is 06.01 and so on. The correct numbering should appear throughout the proposed revision, both in the subheadings and in the text and on the flowchart where numbered sections are referred to.

Comments on specific sections:

6.5.1 (as above, should be 06.05.01): Requirements and Expectations in Research Conduct (the word Misconduct is out of place)

6.5.1: Suggest placing last paragraph on Research Misconduct as the first paragraph under section 06.05.02

6.5.3: Requirements and Expectations in Courses, Capstones, and Research Compliance

6.5.4.1 First paragraph: replace they with the Faculty Member
6.5.4.1 First paragraph, last sentence: replace they with that individual
6.5.4.1 Second paragraph, last sentence: replace Faculty with the Faculty member (capitalized
for consistency)
6.5.4.1 Second paragraph, last sentence: shouldn’t it be University Ombudsperson?

6.5.4.1.1 Second paragraph and eighth paragraph, both should read: For alleged research non-compliance, the Faculty member…
6.5.4.1.1 Third paragraph, should read: The Faculty member (capitalized for consistency)
6.5.4.1.1 Ninth paragraph, second-to-last sentence, should read: “The student will also be informed of the student’s right to be assisted by an advisor of the student’s choice.”

6.5.4.2 Last line: …will be asked to serve in place of the Faculty member or university official.

6.5.4.2.1 Second paragraph: replace they with the Faculty member
6.5.4.2.1 Second paragraph, last line: capitalize Faculty member (for consistency)

6.5.5 Appeals:
For clarification, we suggest adding that the appeal can be from either the student or the Faculty member
For clarification, we suggest adding that this applies to Appeals arising from Review Stage 3

6.5.5.2 Appeal Procedures, Item 4: We suggest adding to last sentence “…for consideration within 14 calendar days from such notification.”