



June 12, 2019

To: Kiersten Boyce
Associate Vice Chancellor & Chief Compliance Officer

From: Dylan Rodriguez, Chair
Riverside Division 

Re: Proposed Revisions to Appendix 5

Dear Kiersten:

I am writing to provide the Senate's consultative feedback on the proposed revision to Appendix 5. The Senate's Executive Council met to discuss the proposal and the committee feedback on June 10, 2019. While the discussion was far-ranging, its content reflected the need for more focused, directed and collaborative conversation among a specific group of people that intersects with the labor and thinking required for a further refinement of the proposal. Therefore, i would like to suggest that a group of us hold a working meeting around the start of the new Senate service year (September 1, 2019) to build a way to move ahead.

The desired outcome, as i understand the current circumstances, is to further clarify the purpose and content of the proposal and to facilitate its revision for a subsequent round of Senate review. This meeting should also address a few specific issues: 1) whether it would be useful for the Senate to convene an ad hoc group to collaborate with the Administration on how to address SVSH matters within Appendix 5; 2) how to provide staff support for such an ad hoc group, if it is convened; and 3) the timeline pressures that such a revision may or may not be facing, in relation to the UCOP mandate around SVSH policy and protocols. I think these people should be in attendance, but please offer your input on whether you think this group makes sense:

- Kiersten Boyce, Associate Vice Chancellor & Chief Compliance Officer
- Mariam Lam, Associate Vice Chancellor for Diversity & Inclusion
- Dan Jeske, Vice Provost for Administrative Resolution
- Dylan Rodriguez, Senate Division Chair
- Luca Ferrero, incoming Chair of the Committee on Privilege & Tenure
- Cherysa Cortez, Senate Director
- Veronica Quesada, Senate Analyst for the Committee on Privilege & Tenure

I hope this is helpful, please let me know how you think we should move ahead.

Peace,
dylan



May 24, 2019

To: Dylan Rodriguez
Chair, Riverside Division Academic Senate

Fr: Timothy J. Close
Chair, Committee on Charges

Re: Campus Review of Proposed Revisions to Appendix 5

The Charges Committee considered the proposed Revisions to Appendix 5 (5.3.1 and Addition 5.3.11). The Committee is in support of the proposed revisions and has no further comments to provide.

We appreciate the opportunity to review and opine on this matter.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE



BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO

SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:
COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES, ARTS, AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92521-0132

May 28, 2019

TO: Dylan Rodriguez, Chair
Academic Senate

FROM: Johannes Endres, Chair
CHASS Executive Committee

RE: Proposed Bylaw Revision: Bylaw Appendix 5 (5.3.1 and Addition of 5.3.11)

The CHASS Executive Committee submitted responses about the Proposed Bylaw Revision: Bylaw Appendix 5 (5.3.1 and Addition of 5.3.11) email by May 20, 2019.

While the committee has no objections to the proposal, the following questions were raised: the original language of the proposal, which has now been changed, used to specify who the “Chancellor’s Designee” could be, namely a person “such as the Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost.” That language was removed. Was that done for any particular reason? Also, it was asked whether the university has a parallel set of regulations for non-Senate members.

Otherwise, there were no objections and our committee approved the proposed revisions.

Johannes Endres, Chair

CHASS Executive Committee



May 7, 2019

To: Dylan Rodriguez, Chair
Riverside Division

From: Louis Santiago, Chair, Executive Committee
College of Natural and Agricultural Science

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Louis Santiago".

Re: Comments on Proposed Bylaw Revision: Bylaw Appendix 5 (5.3.1 and Addition of 5.3.11)


The CNAS Executive Committee discussed the Proposed Bylaw Revision: Bylaw Appendix 5 (5.3.1 and Addition of 5.3.11). While some thought the revision was straightforward, others on the committee commented that the language used in this revision was complicated and wondered whether the same content could be expressed more clearly. The committee also noted that there was a fair degree of ambiguity associated with the revision that allows considerable leeway to the Chancellor's Designee to make decisions. That said, there was also a question as to who the Chancellor's Designee is and whether it should simply state Vice-Provost of Administrative Resolution.



COMMITTEE ON FACULTY WELFARE

May 23, 2019

To: Dylan Rodriguez
Riverside Division Academic Senate

From: Daniel Jeske, Chair 
Committee on Faculty Welfare

Re: Proposed Bylaw Revision: *Bylaw Appendix 5 (5.3.1 and Addition of 5.3.11)*

The Committee on Faculty Welfare (CFW) met on May 21, 2019 to discuss the proposed revision of Appendix 5 of the UCR bylaws. The proposed changes are intended to improve the clarity in how the Title IX office works together with the senate processes for adjudication and discipline of SVSH cases. The committee felt the new section 5.3.11 is helpful. One comment we would like to make is that it is unclear who approves the potential deadline extensions that are discussed in the last paragraph on p.13. Clarifying this part of the process would be a good addition to the document.



PLANNING & BUDGET

May 28, 2019

To: Dylan Rodriguez, Chair
Riverside Division

From: Katherine Kinney, Chair *Katherine Kinney*
Committee on Planning and Budget


Re: [Campus Review] Proposed Bylaw Revision: Bylaw Appendix 5 (5.3.1 and Addition of 5.3.11)

The committee on Planning & Budget (P&B) discussed the proposed bylaw revision to Appendix 5 and addition of 5.3.11 at their May 28, 2019 meeting. P&B found the policy outside of the committee's purview. However, the committee notes that adequate staff will be required to fully implement the policy.

UC RIVERSIDE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA | **Academic
Senate**
Committee on Privilege & Tenure

May 22, 2019

To: Dylan Rodriguez
Chair, Riverside Division Academic Senate

Fr: Michael Adams 
Chair, Committee on Privilege and Tenure

Re: Campus Review of Proposed Revisions to Appendix 5

The Committee on Privilege and Tenure reviewed the proposed Revisions to Appendix 5 (5.3.1 and Addition 5.3.11). The Committee is in support of the proposed revisions but recommends that 'prompt notification' in the context of the initiation of a formal investigation under 5.3.11 should be further defined. The Committee suggests five business days as a reasonable timeframe.

UC RIVERSIDE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA | **Academic Senate**
COMMITTEE ON RULES AND JURISDICTION

May 3, 2019

To: Dylan Rodríguez, Chair
Riverside Division

From:  Ziv Ran
Chair, Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction

Re: Campus Review: Proposed Bylaw Revision: Bylaw Appendix 5 (5.3.1 and Addition of 5.3.11)

The Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction reviewed the proposed Bylaw revision: Bylaw Appendix 5 (5.3.1 and Addition of 5.3.11) and believes that due to the lack of specific references to the SVSH and Research Integrity polices (including links to where they are published), the proposal is vague and ambiguous and as such conflicts with senate bylaws and regulations. Consequently, it may not be adopted in its present form.

The Committee also respectfully suggests that the text of 5.3.11 may be made more succinct without affecting clarity.